## Tax Implications of Financial Assurance in Queensland





### Financial assurance

○ Financial assurance may be imposed as a condition of an environmental authority (EA).

Financial assurance is a type of security provided to the state government to cover the expected cost of rehabilitating the land in the event the EA holder fails to do so.



Image source: Queensland Government - https://www.treasury.qld.gov.au/resource/financial-provisioning-scheme/

### Queensland reforms

Act 2018 (Qld).

Introduced new financial provisioning scheme for resource activities.

Key feature – established a pooled financial provisioning fund.

# Tax implications

Rechanisms of providing financial assurance:

- Contribution amounts
- Cash surety
- Bank guarantee
- Insurance bond

#### ○ M&A implications



### **Contribution amounts**

**Nature** of payment:

- Annual payment based on risk category allocation;
- Non-refundable;
- Must be paid before activities commence under EA;
- Failure to pay may result in cancellation of EA.

#### **R** Tax implications

- Deductible under s 8-1 of the ITAA97?
- Capital versus revenue?

# Capital versus revenue

Reading decision – Sun Newspapers

• Expenditure that establishes, replaces or enlarges the profityielding structure of a business is considered capital in nature; in contrast to continual working expenses.

**CR** GP International Pipecoaters

• The character of the advantage sought by the making of the expenditure is the chief, if not the critical, factor in determining the character of what is paid.

Source: Sun Newspapers Ltd & Associated Newspapers Ltd v FC of T (1938) 61 CLR 337 at 359-360; GP International Pipecoaters Pty Ltd v FC of T (1989-1990) 170 CLR 124 at 137

### **Contribution amounts**

**Nature** of payment:

- Annual payment based on risk category allocation;
- Non-refundable;
- Must be paid before activities commence under EA;
- Failure to pay may result in cancellation of EA.

#### **R** Tax implications

- Deductible under s 8-1 of the ITAA97?
- Capital versus revenue?



**Q** Upfront payment.

Real May be refunded upon completion of rehabilitation.

#### **R** Tax implications

- Capital versus revenue?
- Div 40 cost of authority?
- CGT regime?
- Assessable recoupment upon refund?

Bank guarantees & insurance bonds

Surety whereby a third party agrees to pay the state government an amount (up to an agreed value) in the event the authority holder fails to comply with environmental obligations.

**Costs incurred** to obtain surety.

Capital versus revenue?

础 Div 230 – TOFA rules.

### Administration fees

Assessment fees for each risk category allocation decision.

Administration fees for particular sureties.

Recurrent.

### M&A Considerations

Regislative requirements.

Vendors may compensate purchasers for taking on financial assurance obligations attaching to assets.

Real What are the tax impacts of making such payments?



Image Source: Australian Mining - https://www.australianmining.com.au/news/qld-government-review-financial-assurances-mine-rehabilitation

# Vendor Implications

General deduction under s 8-1 of the ITAA97?
General deduction under s 8-1 of the ITAA97?
General deduction under s 8-1 of the ITAA97?

#### **Other considerations**

- Section 40-755 deduction for environmental protection activities?
- Section 40-735 deduction for mining site rehabilitation?
- Section 40-880 (black-hole) deductions?

## **Purchaser Implications**

Ordinary income - s 6-5 of the ITAA97?

ন্থ Capital gain?

**What if the payment is made directly to the government?** 

Arthur Murray principle.

### Conclusion

- Tax interacts with many areas of law.
- Complex and uncertain outcomes.
- Full paper available June 2019 edition of Taxation in Australia, published by The Tax Institute.



Image source: Minerals Council of Australia - https://minerals.org.au/mine-rehabilitation-case-studies